
Duane Morris*

DUANE MORRIS LLP
1607 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-1608
PHONE: 202.776.7800
FAX: 202.776.7801

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 01 2005

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: Andre Boyce
FIRM/COMPANY: PTO
FACSIMILE NUMBER: 703.872.9306

CONFIRMATION
TELEPHONE: 571.272.6726

FROM: Patrick D. McPherson

DIRECT DIAL: 202.776.5214

DATE: September 1, 2005

USER NUMBER: 4210

FILE NUMBER: GEH01-192CIP

TOTAL # OF PAGES: 6
(INCLUDING COVERSHEET)

MESSAGE: U.S. Pat. App. No. 09/476,615

Per my voicemail, enclosed is a copy of our response that we filed last week that I would like to discuss in the interview on September 7, 2005 at 2:00P.M. Please e-mail location of the interview to pdmcpherson@duanemorris.com

NOTE: Original will not follow

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE REVIEW OF THE PARTY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY TELEPHONE THE SENDER ABOVE TO ARRANGE FOR ITS RETURN, AND IT SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE WAIVER OF THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE.

If there is a problem with this transmission, please call us as soon as possible at 202.776.7800.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

002/006

SEP 01 2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. GEH01 192CIP**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Patent Application of Michael S. Crone

Serial No.: 09/476,615

Art Unit: 3623

Filed: December 31, 1999

Examiner: Andre D. Boyce

Title: COST REACTIVE RESOURCE SCHEDULER AND METHOD

REMARKS

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 25, 2005, the applicant provides the following remarks:

The Section 101 rejections are not understood. In the examiner's previous Office Action, the basis of the Section 101 rejections was that the steps "could be performed using pencil and paper." (Office Action dated 10/4/04, page 3.) Following an interview with the examiner and his supervisor, the applicant amended the independent. (Although applicant amended the claims, the stated basis for the examiner's rejection is directly